## L. 8. SOUTHERN LEYTE STATE UNIVERSITY (SOUTHERN LEYTE STATE COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND TOMAS OPPUS NORMAL COLLEGE)

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

### MANDATE

The Southern Leyte State University shall primarily provide advanced education, higher technological, professional instruction and training in trade, fishery, agriculture, forestry, science, education, commerce, engineering and related courses. It shall also undertake research and extension services, and provide progressive leadership in its areas of specialization.

## VISION

The Southern Leyte State University shall be a globally competitive and values-motivated institution for social transformation.

## MISSION

SLSU is dedicated to produce agents of change imbued with core values of competence, commitment, and spirituality and uphold excellence in instruction, research, extension, and production for sustainable socio-economic growth and development.

## KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

## SECTOR OUTCOME

- 1. Access to advanced studies for academic, professional and managerial competence toward an enhanced quality of life
- 2. Enhanced living condition thru sustainable livelihood training, extension and research applications

### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

# PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

# KEY STRATEGIES

- 1. Uphold quality instruction
- 2. Explore and sustain, diverse, commendable, and responsive RDE programs
- 3. Intensify production capability
- 4. Responsive and responsible student services
- 5. Model of efficient and effective support and delivery system

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2016 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	140% (74% / 53.08%)	141% (76% / 54%)
Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	4% (822)	5% (863)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	5% (1200)	8% (1296)
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	4% (3100)	5% (3255)
Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	4% (2480)	4% (2579)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations; and / or	a) 8	a) 8
b) Applied in course instruction	b) –	b) –
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	15	15
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:		
a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a) –	a) –
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) 36. 36% (30)	b) 40% (42)

EMBER 29, 2015 OFFICI	AL GAZETTE		2
		STATE UNIVERSITIES	S AND COLLEC
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	1 c) -	c) –	
mmunity engagement increased			
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneu and other national agency in developing, implementing or usin new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development		50% (15)	
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leadi to livelihood improvement	3115 (9.99%) ing	15% (3582)	
JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)		201	16 Targets
MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Total number of graduates			
total number of graduates			130
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course			9
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority co Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC		e passing across	9
all disciplines covered by the SUC	<u></u>		
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the	SUC graduates/national av	erage passing	
across all disciplines covered by the SUC			6
Percentage of programs accredited at:			7
Percentage of programs accredited at level 1 Percentage of programs accredited at level 2			7
Percentage of programs accredited at level 3			-
Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc	ording to the prescribed	timeframe	
Percentage of graduates who finished academic program	according to the prescri	bed timeframe	8
MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES			
Total number of graduates			
Total number of graduates			1
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 mo	-		_
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within			7
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education d Percentage of students who rate timeliness of educati			8
MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES			
Number of research studies completed			
Number of research studies completed			:
Percentage of research projects completed in the last 3 y	vears		
Percentage of research projects completed in the last			8
Percentage of research projects completed within the orig Percentage of research projects completed within the		e	6
MEG A. TECHNICAL ADVISORY EVTENSION SERVICES			
MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Number of persons provided with technical advice			
MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Number of persons provided with technical advice Number of persons provided with technical advice			40
Number of persons provided with technical advice	ood or better		40
Number of persons provided with technical advice Number of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of trainees who rate the training course a	as good or better		
Number of persons provided with technical advice Number of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of trainees who rate the training course a Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as g	as good or better good or better		9
Number of persons provided with technical advice Number of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of trainees who rate the training course a Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as g Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services	as good or better good or better as good or better	s of service	9
Number of persons provided with technical advice Number of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of trainees who rate the training course a Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as g Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services	as good or better good or better as good or better	s of service	90
Number of persons provided with technical advice Number of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of trainees who rate the training course a Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as g Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services	as good or better good or better as good or better ervices who rate timelines		400 90 80